MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Logistics

ATTENTION : Chief, Supply Division

SUBITCT : Procurement and Issuence of Security

. Containers

REFFRENCE: Memorandum for Director of Logistics
from Director of Security dated 19 May 1969,
midject: Procurement and Issuance of
Security Containers

I. The surpose of this memorandum is to state the current Office of Security policy concerning the reconserment of security containers, as last set forth in the referenced memorandum. All of the accurity containers approved for procurement are on the Federal Eupply Schedule. You may note, however, that there are other containers on the Federal Eupply Schedule of the same class and configuration which this effice does not approve for procurement. This disapproval is based on a past record of poor quality control, poor or monemistant service departments, an inadequate supply of spare parts and difficulty of maintenance outside of the immediate Headquarters area.

Security Containers Approved for Procurement-

Memulacturer	Class		Configuration	
Die bold		\$	2. 4 and 5 drawer	
Mosler		5	Mans and Jims	
Mosler	•	6×	2, 4 and 1 drawer	
Mosler		6*	Maja and Flans	
Diebold		. 2	2 and 4 drawer	

\*Sargent and Greenleaf T-8400 series combination lock preferred. Mealer MR-302 combination lock acceptable.

2. The issuance of security containers both overseas and domestically remains the same as set forth in paragraphs 2 and 3 of the referenced memorandum. As new classes of containers become available on the Federal Supply Schedule, this Office will evaluate their suitability for Agency use and update this memorandum accordingly.

Howard J. Osborn Director of Security

Distribution:
Orig & 1 - Addressee
1 - D/S
1 - DD/PTOS
1 - PSTB/Chrono
1 - PSTB/Reading
OS:PhySD/PSTB | Ide (23 June 71)

STAT

		·			
STATEMENT	BY		CIA,	SAFE	TECHNICIAN

Sometime in September 1969, received approxi-STAT mately 130 Hillside Class VI filing cabinets. It is my responsibility to inspect these units before putting them in stock for subsequent issue. Upon my inspecting a number of these units, I determined that a lot of them were very shoddy in construction: locks were loose; there were sharp edges on the drawers and cabinet structures; many sharp edges on weldments; and the metal cover latch scraped the latch hole and developed jagged metal It was also noted that these cabinets did not meet the specifications of AA-F-358e Int. Specifically, I took exception to the fact that it was possible to secure the lock drawer with any other drawer open three inches or less from the fully closed position. Also, drawer pulls were loose, latches were not installed properly, and the drawer metal covers were in a partially open condition when the drawer began its opening cycle prior to depressing of the latch.

Since all of the aforementioned problems were of major concern, it was apparent that I had to have help from the Office of Security, Technical Branch (OS/TB). Mr. of the OS/TB inspected some of the Class VI cabinets at the depot STAT and agreed that action must be taken by our Agency to get GSA to take back all of the 130 units and return them to Hillside Metal Products for repair, etc. Through the remainder of 1969 and early 1970, various efforts were made to correct the problem with Sometime in early 1970 the Safemasters Company was contracted by Hillside to correct deficiencies we had cited. Safemasters Company only repaired and tried to correct those deficiencies that GSA instructed them to, and this left many faults uncorrected. After inspecting the repairs made by the Safemasters Company, I was still not satisfied that the cabinets would stand up under field operating conditions and so informed Mr. Brown, Quality Control GSA representative through their Unit at Crystal City in Arlington, Va. I was told that the repairs made by Safemasters Company were all of the repairs that were going to be made, and that everyone else; DOD, Navy, Army, etc. were accepting the cabinets (as modified). I still insisted that they were inferior in construction and would not meet our requirements. Furthermore, I learned it was practically impossible to procure any spare parts for these units. Since our Procurement Division told me that the Agency was going to accept

STAT

See Also

As a means to clarify certain statements (Attachment 3) made by Mr. \_\_\_\_\_\_ the undersigned contacted by phone on 5 October 1978. The following represents a recap of his comments.

## (a) Original Statement

\$TAT

"Since our Procurement Division told me that the Agency was going to accept these units --."

It is Mr. recollection that, after months of effort with all the parties involved to reject the safes, the supply system found itself without safes to issue. Under the "low bidder" concept, any attempt to order additional safes through GSA would result in the delivery of additional Hillside safes. Therefore, to meet existing needs the system had no choice but to accept and issue the Hillside units.

31

(b)	Ori	ginal	Stat	tement
101	O 1 1	× 4 4 4 4 4	O Ca	

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

"I received a phone call from Chief, Materiel Facilities Branch, telling me 'to forget the meeting at the Pentagon with DOD, it had been cancelled'."

It is Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ recollection that the Chief, MFB was then Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ who retired some four or five years ago and is not now in the immediate area.

Mr. \_\_\_\_\_stated that he did not wish to imply that the meeting was cancelled under questionable circumstances. He merely wished to state that it was cancelled for reasons that he is unaware. He stated that at the time he accepted the cancellation as one of those things, i.e., conflict with other meetings, illness or possibly a security issue.

Chief, Supply Division, OL

STA

340mil